

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
for the
Southern District of New York

United States of America

v.

Erin Verespy

Date of Original Judgment: 03/28/2022

Date of Previous Amended Judgment: _____

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)

Case No: 7:21-CR-00024 (CS) (2)

USM No: 88076-054

C. Christian Young, Esq.

Defendant's Attorney

**ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)**

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☒ DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of _____ months is reduced to _____.
(See Page 2 for additional parts. Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted)

Defendant is ineligible for reduction because she did not receive status points; she is ineligible for a zero-point reduction because she had an enhancement for aggravating role; and even if a reduction were applied, the low end of that range would be higher than the sentence imposed.

Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated 03/28/2022 shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: 02/22/2024



Judge's signature

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)

Cathy Seibel, U.S.D.J.

Printed name and title